

Clinical implications of 18 FES PET/CT in ER positive breast cancer: a retrospective analysis of institutional use, staging treatment decisions and diagnostic performance

*Umer Rizwan MD^a, **Sonikpreet Aulakh MD^{b,c}

*first author

** corresponding author

^aDepartment of Medicine, Camden Clark Hospital, West Virginia University, Parkersburg, West Virginia, USA; ^bDepartment of Medical Oncology, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA; ^cDepartment of Neuroscience, Rockefeller Neuroscience Institute, Morgantown, West Virginia, USA

Abstract

Biomarkers like estrogen receptors (ER) guide therapy in breast cancer. While immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of tumor tissue is the standard method for evaluating ER expression, 16 α -[18F]-fluoro-17 β -estradiol positron emission tomography (FES PET/CT) imaging is an advanced non-invasive imaging modality to evaluate ER positive breast cancer with correlation of 0.73 with IHC and overall accuracy of ~91.66%. The value-based pricing of new diagnostic tests aims to maximize the health-related outcomes given a prespecified willingness to pay; and in rural communities of America, this could be challenging. Therefore, as a first step, we sought to determine the real world relevance (diagnostic, therapeutic, prognostic) of FES PET/CT in the underserved Appalachian region.

Methods

Our retrospective study analyzed 68 patients with ER-positive breast cancer who underwent FES PET/CT scans at tertiary referral cancer center in Appalachia from February 13, 2023, to February 13, 2024. Inclusion criteria were age \geq 18 years with confirmed (tissue) ER-positive breast cancer of any stage. FES PET/CT scans were performed to determine the ER status and guide systemic therapy decisions by the ordering physicians. We evaluated the changes in staging; treatment plans; and draw correlations between FES PET/CT SUV values and ER expression in primary and metastatic breast tumor lesions.

Results

FES PET/CT identified ER-positive disease in 73.1% (n=49/68) of patients with local or metastatic breast malignancy, including 2 cases missed by primary site biopsy. Scans led to upstaging of disease in 43.2% (n=29/68), leading to changes in systemic treatment for 58.4% (n=39/68), encompassing therapy escalation, and new regimen initiation. The median time to change in cancer therapy after FES PET/CT was 12 days. All patients had biopsies of the primary or metastatic lesions before FES PET/CT. Eight patients underwent tissue confirmation to discordance between IHC and FES PET/CT results with the end results of concordance.

Conclusion

Our study investigated the clinical utility of FES PET/CT in 68 eligible patients between February 13, 2023, and February 13, 2024, in rural America. We observed that oncologists ordered FES PET/CT

per standard guidelines and results matched in the context of metastatic sites, SUV values, and ER expression correlations. Additionally, we were able to determine its therapeutic advantage (de-escalation, escalation, initiation of new therapies) in breast cancers.

Keywords: 18F-FES PET/CT, Breast Cancer, Systemic Therapy Selection